WATER QUALITY **MEMORANDUM**

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

September 30, 2010

\neg	`		
	1	۰	

Internal File

THRU:

FROM:

Jim Smith, Permit Supervisor

Steve Christensen Environmental Scientist

RE:

2008 4th Quarter Water Monitoring, Consolidation Coal Company, LLC,

DS oscition

Emery Deep Mine, C/015/0015, WO08-4, Task ID #3156

The Emery Deep Mine is an active coalmine. The coal mining operation utilizes room and pillar mining techniques with the use of a continuous miner machine. The coal reserves are fully extracted (thus falling into the planned subsidence category).

The approved Mining and Reclamation Plan (MRP) outlines the water monitoring requirements beginning on page VI-28. Table VI-17, Emery Mine Hydrologic Monitoring *Program* contains a comprehensive list of all groundwater (springs/seeps), surface water, groundwater monitoring wells and Utah Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (UPDES) outfalls. Plate VI-4, Ground Water Monitoring Well and Surface Water Monitoring Site Location Map depicts the locations of the various ground and surface water monitoring sites (including the UPDES discharge/outfall points).

1. Was data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?

YES ⊠ NO □

Springs

The MRP outlines the sampling of 5 springs within the permit and adjacent area. Flow and field parameters are sampled quarterly with water quality samples collected in the 2nd and 3rd quarters.

The Permittee submitted data for all required springs: SP-10, SP-11, SP-13, SP-14 and SP-15.

Streams

The MRP outlines the sampling of 8 surface water monitoring stations within the permit and adjacent area. Surface water monitoring site SWMS-1 is actively monitored; however, not listed in the MRP.

The Permittee submitted data for all required stream sites.

Wells

The MRP outlines the sampling of 33 ground water monitoring wells within the permit and adjacent area. Of the 33 wells, 14 are monitored quarterly for water level only. The remaining 19 wells are sampled for water quality on a quarterly basis with the exception of wells RDA-1, RDA-2, RDA-3, RDA-4, RDA-5 and RDA-6 (sampled annually in the second quarter for both field parameters and water quality).

Six of the 33 well installations (AA, H, I, R2, T1 and T2)) contain clusters of casing completed to different depths within the underlying strata. Well AA contains four completions (AA-B, AA-L, AA-M and AA-U). Wells H and I contain four completions as well (H-B, H-L, H-M, H-U and I-B, I-L, I-M and I-U respectively). Well R2 contains three completions (R2-B, R2-M and R-U). Well T1 contains two completions (T1-B and T1-U). Well T2 contains two completions as well (T2-B and T2-U).

The Permittee submitted data for all required wells.

UPDES

The Emery Deep Mine's UPDES Permit, #UT0022616, identifies 9 outfalls (001, 002, 003, 004, 005, 006, 007, 008 and 009). The discharges from each of the outfalls ultimately report to Quitchupah Creek, a tributary of Muddy Creek. The receiving waters are designated according to Utah Administrative Code (UAC) R317-2-13.1 as 2B, 3C and 4. Historically, only Outfalls 001 and 003 have ever recorded a discharge.

The Water Quality Board for the Division of Water Quality (DWQ) has approved a rule change that would allow for a site specific, in-stream standard for the Emery Deep's effluent limitations. The modified standard will establish an allowable TDS concentration of 3,800 parts per million (ppm) and a 2,000-ppm concentration of sulfate. DWQ representatives have indicated that they are waiting for Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) approval before the permit is modified from it's current standard of 3,500-ppm.

DWQ has been in negotiations with the Permittee for several years regarding a modification to their existing UPDES permit. The Permittee has entered into a compliance schedule as allowed under the rules of the Clean Water Act to modify their permit. The compliance schedule would produce a site-specific standard for the Emery Deep UPDES permit.

 2B-Protected for secondary contact recreation such as boating, wading or similar uses. Page 3 C/015/0015 WQ08-4 Task ID #3156 September 30, 2010

- 3- Protected for nongame fish and other aquatic life, including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.
- 4- Protected for agricultural uses including irrigation of crops and stock watering.

The Permittee submitted data for all required UPDES sites.

•		
2. Were all required parameters reported for each site?	YES	NO 🖂
All required parameters were reported for the spring, str sites. Water quality data was not submitted for monitoring well as required by the approved MRP.		
3. Were any irregularities found in the data?	YES 🖂	NO 🗌
UPDES Sites		

Historically outfalls 002, 004, 005, 006, 007, 008 and 009 do not produce a discharge. These outfalls did not report a flow for this quarter.

Outfalls 001 and 003 are the primary outlets for discharging the ground water encountered within the mine works. Outfall 003 reported a discharge for this quarter. Outfall 001 did not report a discharge in six sampling events.

As outlined in the Permittee's Utah Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit (UPDES), the effluent limitation for Total Dissolved Solids is 3,500-ppm. However, the reported TDS values for outfalls 001 and 003 have exceeded that limit for several years.

Outfall 003 reported a discharge two times based on 8 sampling events with an average flow of 158.2 gpm and corresponding TDS average of 4,034 ppm.

4. On what date does the MRP require a five-year re-sampling of baseline water data.

There is no commitment in the MRP to resample for baseline parameters.

5. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

Continue to monitor the compliance schedule process currently underway between the Permittee and DWQ.

Page 4 C/015/0015 WQ08-4 Task ID #3156 September 30, 2010

Follow up with Permittee regarding the missing water quality data.

6. Does the Mine Operator need to submit more information to fulfill this quarter's monitoring requirements? YES ⊠ NO □
Spring water quality data was not submitted the previous quarter. Additionally, water quality data was not submitted for monitoring wells T1-B, TP-U and USGS 4-1.
7. Follow-up from last quarter, if necessary.
Work with Permittee in inputting missing data into the EDI.
8. Did the Mine Operator submit all the missing and/or irregular data?
No.
O:\015015.EME\Water Quality\WQ08-4.doc



